

SOUTH MIAMI-DADE WATERSHED STUDY
PROJECT MANAGER'S REPORT
To the South Miami-Dade Watershed Advisory Committee
June 8, 2006

This report covers the month of May and a portion of June 2006. SFRPC activities have included:

- Attendance at scheduled meetings with the Project Management Team to discuss project planning for future tasks, and review of progress related to the impact assessments and preferred alternative
- Meeting with the Organization Committee
- Meetings with County Staff
- Meeting with the Resolution Subcommittee
- Meeting with the Homestead Air Reserve Base Joint Land Use Committee
- Coordinating with consultant for U.S. Air Force for meeting to discuss issues relating to Homestead Air Reserve Base

Project Status: The May 25, 2006 meeting was meeting number 45. At that meeting Committee members heard presentations by the consultant on the assessment results regarding Sub-Task 4.3 and implementation strategies. Staff and consultants have received input on implementation strategies from committee members and the public since that meeting.

No additional expenditures have been incurred since the May 25, 2006 meeting. Total expenditures to date for the project are \$1,996,350.00.

Your participation in the Study for the duration of Task 3 and 4 is reflected in the following schedule:

- July 28, 2005 - Discussion of Draft Work Product on Sub-Tasks 3.1-3.3
- September 1, 2005 – Discussion of Draft Work Product on Sub-Tasks 3.4-3.5
- September 1, 2005 - Discussion of Final Report on Sub-Tasks 3.1-3.3
- September 22, 2005 – Acceptance of Final Report on Sub-Tasks 3.1-3.3 and discussion of Sub-Task 3.5
- November 9, 2005 – Presentation and Discussion of Sub-Task 3.4
- December 8, 2005 - Presentation and Discussion of Sub-Tasks 3.4 and 3.6 and an initial discussion on the preferred scenario.
- December 22, 2005 – Consensus process for Sub-Task 3.4 and discussion of Sub-Task 3.5 (Property Rights) and Sub-Task 4 (Preferred Scenario).
- January 12, 2006 – Presentation and Discussion on Sub-Task 4 (Preferred Scenario). Development of alternatives by breakout groups.
- January 12, 2006 – Presentation and Continued Discussion on Sub-Task 4 (Preferred Scenario).
- January 26, 2006 – Revision of preliminary preferred alternative scenario.
- February 9, 2006 – Review of the revised preferred alternative scenario and initial consensus discussion.

- February 23, 2006 – Continuation of the review of the revised preferred alternative scenario and submission of written comments and concerns.
- March 23, 2006 – Discussion of Committee members' concerns and status report on the Study.
- April 27, 2006 – Presentation and discussion of status report of Assessments and preliminary discussion of implementation strategies.
- May 25, 2005 – Presentation on assessments and implementation strategies.

The Committee is currently meeting on a monthly schedule, meeting on the fourth Thursday of each month, but scheduling varies as the Committee approaches the end of the project.

The agenda for the June 8 meeting calls for Committee members to provide input on implementation strategies. It is important to note that the information received at this meeting will be used to assist County staff in preparation of amendments to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP). In accordance with Florida Law comprehensive plans are made up of *adopted components* and *data and analysis* to support the adopted components. The adopted components are made up of the *Map series* and the *Goals, Objectives and Policies*. At the June 8 meeting the Committee will be providing input that will serve as the data and analysis to support the creation of Goals, Objective and Policy language, which will ultimately be adopted as amendments to the CDMP. When adopted into the comprehensive plan, Goals, Objectives and Policies imply a real commitment on the part of the local government. With this in mind the Project Management Team urges Committee members to be creative and assertive in suggesting ideas for implementation strategies. Obviously, big ideas imply big investment, so suggestions for funding will also be needed.

Always remember each of these discussions and presentations reflect opportunities for WSAC input to the Study and Plan on behalf of your constituents.